



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) Nos. 9053, 9057, 9071, 9072
& 9538 of 2026

***Basudev Shg,
Teramanpur, Rahama,
Jagatsinghpur***

....

Petitioner

Mr. D. K. Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. A. Tripathy, AGA

Mr. S.K. Dash, Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

01.07.2026

Order No.

- 02.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
- 3.** It is contended that in all the aforesaid Writ Petitions, Petitioner Self Help Group were deprived to continue with the supply of THR Chatua in various blocks of Jagatsinghpur District, basing on an analysis report of food sample so issued by the Food Analyst, Government of Odisha.
- 4.** It is contended that in terms of the notification issued by the Food Safety and Standard Authority of India so issued on dtd.12.07.2022, enclosed as



Annexure-18 in W.P.(C) No.9538 of 2026, any such report of a sample is required to be prepared and submitted within a period of 14 days from the date of receipt of the sample.

4.1. However, in W.P.(C) Nos.9053, 9057 and 9071 of 2026 the sample though was drawn on 30.09.2023, but the analysis report was submitted on 12.02.2024. Similarly, in W.P.(C) No.9072 of 2026, the sample though was drawn on 12.07.2023 but the report was submitted on 29.11.2023 and in W.P.(C) No.9538 of 2026 the sample was drawn on 31.05.2024 and the analysis report was submitted on 10.09.2024.

4.2. It is accordingly contended that since the report basing on which Petitioners were deprived to continue with the supply has not been prepared and issued in terms of the notification issued by the Food Safety and Standard Authority of India, basing on such report, Petitioners could not have been deprived to continue with the supply of THR Chatua.

4.3. It is also fairly contended that till date no fresh engagement has been made with regard to supply of THR Chatua where the present Petitioners were continuing prior to their discontinuance.

5. Considering the submission made and the notification dtd.12.07.2022 so available in W.P.(C) No.9538 of 2026, this Court directs learned Addl.



Government Advocate to obtain instruction as to whether basing on the analysis report so available in each of the Writ Petitions, Petitioners could have been deprived to continue with the supply of THR Chatua.

6. As requested by Mr. A. Tripathy, learned Addl. Government Advocate for the State, list these matters on 14th July, 2026.

7. However, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner in W.P.(C) No.9538 of 2026 is directed to provide a copy of the Addl. Affidavit containing notification dtd.12.07.2022 on the learned Addl. Government Advocate in course of the day.

Free copy of this order be handed over to Mr. A. Tripathy, learned AGA for compliance.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat