



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No. 7613, 9582, 9583, 9585, 9588,
9607,9608,9621,9665,9795,9803,9814,9829,9831,9855,9856,9857,
10185,10186,10188,10203,10205 & 10254 of 2026

Manasmita Maharana & Ors.

Petitioner

Mr. B. Routray, Sr. Adv.
Along with
Mr. S. Mallik, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.P. Das, ASC
Mr. A.K. Kanungo, Adv.
(for Caveator)
Mr. D.N. Rath, Adv.
(For Intervenors)

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY
ORDER
02.07.2026

Order No. 07

I.A.Nos.9043,9317,9318,9319,9320,9321,9323,9324,9325,9326,9327,9328
,9329,9330,9332,9333,9334,9335, 9336 & 9337 of 2026

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsels appearing for the Parties.
3. All these interim applications have been filed, seeking modification of order dated 06.05.2026, inter alia on the ground that no such concession was ever given by the learned Senior Counsel appearing along with the learned counsel on behalf of the Petitioners, in confining the claim of the Petitioners to get the salary during the period



they undergo the training, in terms of notification dated 13.03.2024, basing on which all the Writ Petitions were disposed of vide a common order passed on 06.05.2026.

4. It is further contended that Petitioners will be seriously prejudiced if the Writ Petitions so disposed of vide order dated 06.05.2026 is only confined so far as release of salary during the period Petitioners undergo training is concerned.

It is accordingly contended that order dated 06.05.2026 needs to be recalled and/or modified.

5. Learned Addl. Standing Counsel and learned counsels appearing for the Caveator/Intervenors on the other hand contended that order dated 06.05.2026 was passed, basing on the submission made by the learned Senior Counsel as well as learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners, that Petitioners only confine their claim so far as release of their salary during the period they undergo the training and their claim for extension of consequential service benefit be treated as not pressed.

5.1. It is contended by the learned State counsel as well learned counsels appearing for the Caveator/Intervenors that such a concession



was also given by the learned Senior Counsel appearing along with the learned counsel for the Petitioners, when claim for extension of consequential service benefits more particularly seniority in the concerned rank was seriously objected to.

5.2. It is further contended that taking into account the concession so given, this Court disposed of the batch of Writ Petitions vide order dated 06.05.2026 with the direction as given in the said order.

5.3. It is accordingly contended that since order dated 06.05.2026 has been passed taking into account the concession given by the learned Senior Counsel appearing along with the learned counsel for the Petitioners' prayer for modification on the ground raised in the interim applications is not at all entertainable and the I.As are liable to be rejected.

6. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties and considering the submission made, this Court is not inclined to modify the common order passed on dated 06.05.2026, as the same was passed basing on the submission made by the learned Senior Counsels along with learned counsel for the Petitioners that the claim of the Petitioners be confined so far as release of salary during the training period is concerned.



7. It is however open for the Petitioners to raise any other grievance except consequential service benefit, if any cause of action still subsists.

8. Accordingly, all the I.A.s stand rejected and disposed of.

Photo copy of this order be placed in the connected case records.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Jyoti