



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.8810 of 2026

Manoranjan Padhy

....

Petitioner

In Person

-versus-

***1. The Chairman Odisha
State Bar Council ,
Cuttack***

....

Opposite Parties

***2. The Central election
Committee, Odisha
State Bar Council ,
Cuttack***

Mr. S.R. Patnaik, Adv.(O.P.2)

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

23.03.2026

Order No.

01. 1. Learned counsel, Mr. S.R. Patnaik & Associates have entered appearance on behalf of the Opposite party No.2 by filing vakalatnama.

The same is taken on record. Name of the learned counsel, Mr. Patnaik & Associates be reflected in the file, cause list as well as Web Portal of this Court.

2. Heard the Petitioner in person and learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2.

3. It is submitted by the Petitioner that assailing the rejection of his candidature to contest for the post of Joint Secretary of the Orissa High Court Bar Association in the ensuing election, he has preferred a



representation before the Opposite Party No.2. And, alleged inaction in the matter of consideration of such representation, in view of the urgency involved, inter alia is the grievance of the Petitioner, agitated in this writ petition.

4. Learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2 submits that the writ petition is ex-facie not maintainable.

5. Considering the rival submissions, without expressing any opinion regarding the veracity of the allegations made in the writ petition including maintainability, this Court is of the considered view that interest of justice would be subserved, if the Opposite Party N.2-the Central Election Committee, Odisha State Bar Council, Cuttack is directed to take a decision on the representation made by the Petitioner stated to have been submitted on 19.03.2026, within a period of two working days hence.

The decision so taken shall be communicated to the Petitioner both by post as well as electronic mode.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

U.C.C. in course of the day.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge