



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.9389 of 2026

Jogeswar Meher

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Jyotirmay Gupta

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. U.C.Jena, A.S.C.

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

Order No.

09.04.2026

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the prayer made therein.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“The petitioner therefore humble prays that the Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to admit this case, issue notice to opposite parties for show cause and after hearing both the sides may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus/certiorari by directing the Opposite parties particularly to O.P. No.- 3, i.e.j Collector, Bargarh to absorb/re-engage the petitioner in the post of Shikslī4 Sahayak (Junior Teacher) on the basis of the Judgement passed on 22.11.2024 in W.P(c) No.- 7190 of 2018 (Dillip Kumar Parida -vs- state of Odisha &



Others) by this Hon'ble Court vide Annexure-6 by quashing the illegal Order issued by DPC vide Annexure-3 with cost.

AND

Further be pleased to direct the Collector, Bargarh to finalize the representation of the petitioner vide Annexure-7 within a stipulated period.

AND

Further be pleased to pass any other order/direction which this Hon'ble court thinks fit and proper to this case.”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the Collector-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Bargarh, Opposite Party No.3 under Annexure-7. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-7 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.3, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner dated 02.02.2026



under Annexure-7 keeping in view under Annexure-6 within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.3 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-7 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.3 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi