



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.9274 of 2026

Sarat Chandra Sahoo

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Sameer Kumar Das

-versus-

State Of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. U.C. Jena, ASC

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

26.03.2026

Order No.

- 01.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the State. Perused the writ petition as well as the prayer made therein.
 3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset contended that pursuant to the order passed by this Court in the earlier writ petition preferred by the Petitioner, the Petitioner approached the Opposite Party No.1 by filing a representation. He further submitted that such representation has been disposed of vide order dated 02.02.2026 at Annexure-7 on the ground that the prayer of the Petitioner cannot be considered by the Opposite Parties till disposal of W.A. No.197 of 2024 (*State of Odisha v. Hemanta Kumar Chhotray*). However, there is no indication as to whether there is any interim order in the writ appeal staying the operation of the judgment. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at this juncture contended that the Petitioner stands



in a similar footing with the above noted case of ***Hemanta Kumar Chhotray*** (*supra*) in whose case though the State has preferred a writ appeal, however, no interim order has been passed.

4. On the request of the learned counsel for the State, he is granted ten days' time to obtain instruction in the matter.

5. List this matter in the week commencing 13.04.2026.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout