



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.9273 of 2026

Lakshmi Narayan Das

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Gyana Ranjan Sethi

-versus-

State Of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. U.C. Jena, ASC

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

26.03.2026

Order No.

- 01.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.
 3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“It is therefore humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit the case, call for the records and after hearing both the parties pass the following reliefs

- i) *To quash the order dtd.03.03.2016 under Annexure -2.*



- ii) *To direct the Opposite Parties to release the withheld amount of Rs.83,315/- of the petitioner within a stipulated period with statutory interest.*

And pass such other order/orders as may be deemed fit and proper for the interest of justice.”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the Opposite Party No.1 under Annexure-4. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-4 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.1, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-4, keeping in view the ratio laid down by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of ***Akshay Kumar Dwivedy v. State of Odisha & others*** passed in ***W.P.C(OAC) No.3936 of 2013*** vide order dated 23.03.2022, within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.1 shall do well to dispose of the



representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-4 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.1 be also communicated to the Petitioner within two weeks thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations/directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout