



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLA No. 297 of 2026

Radhakrushna Sahoo

....

Appellant

Mr. Amitav Tripathy , Advocate

-versus-

Republic of India (CBI)

....

Respondent

Mr. Sarthak Nayak,
Special Public Prosecution
-cum-Retainer Counsel, (CBI)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

26.03.2026

Order No.

(Through hybrid Mode)

- 02.
1. Heard.
 2. By judgment and order dated 13.03.2026 of the learned Special Judge, (C.B.I), Court-I, Bhubaneswar in T.R. Case No. 11 of 2014 arising out of CBI/SPE/Bhubaneswar Case No. RC No.2 (A)/2014 BBS), the Appellant-Petitioner has been convicted for commission of offence punishable under Section 7 and Section 13 (2) read with Section 13 (1) (d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and sentenced to undergo R.I. for a period of four years and to pay a fine of Rs.50,000/- (rupees fifty thousand only) on each count, in default, to undergo further R.I. for a period of six months for committing the offences punishable under Sections 7 and 13 (2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. But in the appeal memo, it is incorrectly mentioned that he has been convicted and sentenced under



Section 7 and Section 13 (2) of the P.C. Act. Both the substantive sentences have been directed to run concurrently and the pre-conviction detention period, if any, set off against the substantive period of sentence.

3. Admit.
4. Call for the scanned copy of the Trial Court Records.
5. List this case on 07.07.2026.

I.A. No. 795 of 2026

6. This is an application under Section 389 of Cr.P.C. read with Section 430 of the BNSS for grant of bail during pendency of the appeal.

7. Mr. Amitav Tripathy, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant was on bail during trial and has not misused the liberty granted to him and after his conviction he has been remanded to custody.

8. It is however not averred in the I.A. that the Appellant is in custody.

9. Considering the submissions especially the submission of the appellant was on bail during trial and has not misused the liberty granted to him and as the chances of early hearing of the appeal are bleak, I am inclined to allow the application.

10. It is directed that the Appellant-Radhakrushna Sahoo shall be released on bail on such terms and conditions as may be fixed by the learned trial Court, during pendency of the Criminal Appeal.

11. This I.A. is disposed of.



I.A. No. 794 of 2026

12. This I.A. has been filed for staying realization of the fine amount.

13. After hearing learned counsel for the parties as there is no averment in the I.A. to indicate that the Appellant cannot deposit the fine amount, it is directed that the appellant shall deposit the fine amount within a period of four weeks before the learned trial court. This deposit shall be subject to the result of the criminal appeal.

14. It is also directed that the amount deposited by the Appellant shall be kept in fixed deposit by the learned court below in a Nationalized Bank, so that it can earn interest.

15. The I.A. is disposed of.

16. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

puspa