



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**WP(C) No.8891 of 2026**

***Sasmita Kumari Choudhury***

**.....**

***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. -  
Subash Chandra  
Acharya

**-versus-**

***state of Odisha and others***

**.....**

***Opposite Parties***

Represented by Adv. –

Mr. S. Behera, AGA

**CORAM:**

**MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA**

**ORDER**

**23.03.2026**

**Order No.**

01.        1.        This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2.        Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Govt. Advocate for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.
3.        The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

*“Therefore, under the facts and circumstances stated above, it is to admit the writ application, issue rule NISI directing the Opp. parties to issue order for continuance of the petitioner in service as per their letter No.11391, dated 25.10.2025 following the orders of this Hon'ble Court passed vide WP(C) No.24673 and 27200 of 2025 in separate cases of same type of posts of 19 teachers. This Hon'ble Court is also prayed to direct the Opposite Parties to consider the experience of the petitioner in the same post and the 45 years age limit may kindly be*



*dispensed with in pursuance to Annexure-4. It is humbly again prayed before this Hon'ble Court for a kind direction to the Opposite Parties to allow the petitioner to continue in service upto 60 years as per their guideline of the outsourcing agency in question so as the age limit for service of State Government.*

*And may further be pleased to pass such other writ(s), order(s), direction(s) befitting the facts and circumstances of the case so as to enable complete relief to the petitioner;*

*And for this act kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."*

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation dated before the Opposite Party No.1 by filing representation dated 06.03.2026 under Annexure-5. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Govt. Advocate submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.1, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 within a period of eight weeks taking into consideration Annexure-6 from



the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.1 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.1 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observation/ direction, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

**( A.K. Mohapatra )**  
**Judge**

Sisir