



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLA No. 298 of 2026

Bharat Kumar Ghadei @ Bharat Appellant
Kumar Ghadai

Mr. Devashis Panda, Advocate
with Mr. D.K. Mohapatra, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha (Vigilance) Respondent

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Das, Standing Counsel
(for Vigilance)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

31.03.2026

Order No.

(Through hybrid Mode)

- 02.
1. Heard.
 2. Pursuant to order dated 26.03.2026, the consolidated / corrected appeal memo has been filed on 30.03.226.
 3. This Criminal Appeal has been filed challenging the Judgment dated 17.02.2026 passed by the Learned Special Judge (Vigilance), Sundargarh in Case No. CTR No. 42/241 of 2000-16 convicting the Appellant under Section 13(2) read with Section 13(1)(d)(iii) of the P.C. Act, 1988 and under Section 201/213/217 of the IPC and sentencing him to undergo R.I. for two years and to pay a fine of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand), in default to undergo R.I. for four months under Section 13(2) of P.C. Act, and sentencing him to undergo R.I. for



two months and pay a fine of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees one thousand), in default to undergo R.I. for ten days for the offence under Section 201 of IPC, to undergo R.I. for two months and pay a fine of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees one thousand), in default to undergo R.I. for ten days for the offence under Section 213 of IPC and to undergo R.I. for one year and pay a fine of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees one thousand), in default to undergo R.I. for two months for the offence under Section 217 of IPC. The sentences were directed to run concurrently.

4. Admit.
5. Call for the scanned copy of the Trial Court Records.
6. List this case on 14.07.2026.
7. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Das, learned Standing Counsel (Vigilance) submits that he shall file appearance memo by 06.04.2026.

I.A. Nos. 784 and 785 of 2026

8. Mr. Devashis Panda, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant submits that he wants to withdraw the two I.As. filed for grant of bail and stay of realization of fine during pendency of the Appeal, as there are some typographical errors in the I.As., in order to file better applications.



9. The prayer is allowed.

10. Both the I.As. are dismissed as withdrawn granting the liberty as prayed for.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Sukanta