



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No.8502 of 2026

Rajashree Sahoo

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. Adnan Sarnadi

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. D.K. Sahoo, AGA

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA
ORDER
23.03.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ petition as well as the documents annexed thereto.
3. The present writ petition has been filed by the Petitioner with the following prayers:-

“Therefore the petitioner most respectfully prays that Your Lordship would graciously be pleased to admit the case, call for the relevant records and after hearing may be pleased to:-

- (i) direct Opp.No.4/Collector, Jagatsinghpur to consider the representation dated 09.02.2026/Annexure -7 in term of the judgment issued by this Honorable Court in division bench.
- (ii) quash Annexure-6 issued by the Opp.No.4 /Collector, Jagatsinghpur being unconstitutional.



(iii) And pass any other order/orders, direction/directions as it would be deemed fit and proper to the facts and circumstances of the case in order to provide complete justice to the petitioner.”

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the Petitioner that although the Petitioner has approached the Opposite Party No.4-Collector-cum-Magistrate, Jagatsinghpur for redressal of her grievance by filing a representation dated 09.02.2026 at Annexure-7, however, no final decision has been taken on such representation. Being aggrieved by such inaction of the Opposite Party No.4, the Petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present writ petition.

5. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, submitted that on perusal of the writ petition, it appears that the Petitioner has already approached the Opposite Party No.4 by filing a detailed representation dated 09.02.2026 at Annexure-7. He further submitted that in the event no final decision has been taken on such representation, he will have no objection in the event this Court directs the Opposite Party No.4 to consider and dispose of the representation of the Petitioner at Annexure-7 in accordance with law and within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the submission made by the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court disposes of the writ petition at the stage of admission with a



direction to the Opposite Party No.4-Collector-cum-Magistrate, Jagatsinghpur to consider the representation of the Petitioner dated 09.02.2026 at Annexure-7 in accordance with law within a period of eight six weeks from the date of production of a certified copy of this order. It is needless to mention here that the representation of the Petitioner shall be considered and disposed of by passing a speaking and reasoned order. Any decision so taken on the said representation shall be communicated to the Petitioner within a week thereafter.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Debasis