



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.8913 of 2026

Lingaraj Swain

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Bhakta Ranjan Barik

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. D.K.Sahoo, A.G.A.

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

Order No.

26.03.2026

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Government Advocate for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the prayer made therein.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

*“It is therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court
may be graciously pleased to: -*

i) admit the writ petition;

ii) call for the records;

*iii) issue Rule Nisi calling upon the Opp. Parties as to
why the representation dt. 14.11.2024 submitted under
Annexure-6 for continuance of Petitioner on the basis of
her performance shall not be considered by the Opp.
Party No.3;*

iv) if the Opp. Parties do not show cause or show



insufficient cause issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions in directing the Opp. Party No.3 to consider the representation dt. 14.11.2024 submitted under Annexure-6 for continuance of Petitioner on the basis of her performance within a time to be stipulated by this Hon'ble Court.

And pass such other order (s) which will deem fit and proper in the ends of complete justice.”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the District Education Officer-cum-District Project Coordinator, Samagra Sikshya, Puri, Opposite Party No.3 under Annexure-6. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-6 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.3, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation dated 19.11.2024 of the Petitioner



under Annexure-6 within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.3 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-6 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.3 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi