



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CONTC No.1704 of 2026

Ranjita Nayak

....

Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Mishra, Sr. Advocate

-versus-

***Deepak Khandayat Rai,
IIC, Malgodwan Police Station,
Cuttack***

....

Opp. Party

Mr. U.C. Behura, AGA

**CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH**

Order No.

**ORDER
08.05.2026**

- 01.
1. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
 2. By means of this application, the Petitioner seeks to initiate action of contempt against the Contemnor-Opp. Party.
 3. Learned AGA, Mr. Behura in support of his contention submits that the matter has already been complied with wherein the concerned IIC, having conducted an inquiry, submitted the compliance report to the DCP.
 4. Heard learned Sr. Advocate, Mr. Mishra for the Petitioner. Perusal of the compliance report as placed before this Court by the learned AGA, submitted by the IIC, Malgodwan Police Station, Cuttack discloses the matter having been enquired upon no evidence could be found to register an FIR. Learned AGA is directed to favour a copy of the compliance report to the learned counsel for the Petitioner for reference.



5. In the compliance report, though it is mentioned that a preliminary inquiry has been conducted to comply the order of the DCP, it is rightly pointed out by Mr. Mishra, that no such statement has been obtained from the Victim-Complainant, and the entire exercise has been conducted without her examination or statement recorded in connection with the grievance as required under law, which apparently shows that the inquiry was undertaken to cover up the culprits.

6. Be that as it may, having regard to the nature of the order passed by this Court on 20.02.2026 in CRLMP No.181 of 2026, wherein this Court had made it clear that the Opp. Party No.3 was to comply with the order by complying the direction by submitting his report within three days to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Cuttack, has apparently been complied with, though, according to Mr. Mishra, not in accordance with law.

7. The compliance having been made, this Court has no occasion to proceed further with the Contempt. However, the Petitioner is not estopped from proceeding further in the matter, resorting to his cause before the jurisdictional Judicial Magistrate by way of a complaint.

8. Accordingly, the CONTC is dropped.

(Chittaranjan Dash)
Judge

Sarbani

