



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No. 8844 of 2026

Swarup Kumar Panda

.....

Petitioner

Mr. A.K. Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P.K. Sahoo, ASC

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

25.03.2026

Order No. 01

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties.
3. Petitioner has filed the present writ petition inter alia with the following prayer:-

“It is prayed therefore that this Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to

i) admit the writ petition

ii) call for records

iii) issue Rule NISI calling upon the Opp. parties to show cause as to why the Opp. parties shall not be directed to regularize the service of the petitioner from the date when Saroj Kumar Sahoo, Aswani Kumar Behera, Sujata Pattanaik, Mamidi Sagar and Panchanan Khadgarai issued regular appointment and why they shall not be directed to release all consequential and financial benefits to the petitioner w.e.f. date above mentioned employees got benefit and as per the order of this Hon'ble court dated 10.02.2023 under Annexure-7.

And



If the Opp. parties do not show cause or show insufficient cause the writ may be made absolute and the prayer of the petitioner to regularize his service from the date when similarly situated persons as stated above were given regular appointment and further a writ of mandamus may be issued to the Opp. parties to release service and consequential financial benefits accordingly within a stipulated time and the present writ petitioner may be allowed with cost.

iv) further be pleased to pass any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions as this Hon'ble Court deem fit and proper for the ends of justice and fair play.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. However, taking into account the claim raised in the present Writ Petition, liberty is granted to the Petitioner to make a fresh representation before Opp. Party No. 3 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of three (3) weeks hence.

5. It is observed that if such representation is filed within the aforesaid time period, Opp. Party No. 3 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by Opp. Party No. 3 be communicated to the Petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Sneha