



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.8338 of 2026

Suryakanta Satapathy

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Bijaya Kumar Sahoo

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. C.M.Singh, A.S.C.

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

Order No.

18.03.2026

- 01.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the prayer made therein.
 3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“It is therefore, most respectfully prayed that your lordships may graciously be pleased to admit the writ petition and issue an appropriate orders or directions to the Opp. Party No.2 to approve the promotion of the Petitioner to the post of Headmaster in Sangrami Kashinath U.P. (M.E.) aided school at Ghasadeipur, Rabara, Nayagarh with effect from the date 20.06.2025 by taking into recommendation of the School Managing Committee under Annexure-6 & 7 and accordingly extend the scale of pay applicable to the post of



Headmaster and other consequential benefits.

And pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Managing Committee ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the Director Elementary Education, Odisha Heads of Department Building, Bhubaneswar, Opposite Party No.2 under Annexure-7. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.2 to consider the representation of the Managing Committee under Annexure-7 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Managing Committee is considered by the Opposite Party No.2, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.2 to consider the representation dated 15.12.2025 of the Managing Committee under Annexure-7 within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of a certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.2 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the



Managing Committee under Annexure-7 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.4 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi