



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.8135 of 2026

Bijay Kumar Majhi

....

Petitioner

Mr. M. Padhi, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Anr.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. A. Tripathy, AGA

Mr. L.K. Moharana, Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

25.03.2026

Order No.

02.

- 1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
- 3.** Even though the present Writ Petition has been filed challenging the disconnection notice issued under Annexure-1, but in course of hearing, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner contended that he may be permitted to move Opposite Party No.2 for re-consideration of the demand and / or settlement of the demand through One Time Settlement, if any.
- 4.** Learned counsel appearing for the TPNODL has no serious objection to the course of action proposed.



5. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties and considering the submissions made, this Court while disposing the Writ Petition permits the Petitioner to make an appropriate application for re-consideration / settlement of the demand so issued under Annexure-1 before Opposite Party No.2.

6. It is observed that if any such application will be moved within a period of ten (10) days hence, Opposite Party No.2 shall take a lawful decision on the same within a period of four (4) weeks.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat