



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.A. No. 787 of 2024

Subasini Dei

....

Appellant

Represented by Adv.—
Mr. Umakanta Sahoo, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha & Others

....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv._
Mr. J.K. Bal, AGA
(for opposite parties No.1, 3 & 4),

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK

AND

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER

11.11.2025

(Hybrid mode)

Order No.

I.A. No.1805 of 2024

02.

1. The I.A. has been filed for condonation of reported delay of 6 days of delay in filing the appeal. Copy of the I.A. as well as the appeal memo has been served on the learned Additional Government Advocate.

2. Heard learned counsel for the appellant and the respondent.

It is submitted that the causes shown for the delay in filing the appeal are adequate and bonafide.

3. Having gone through the I.A. supported by affidavit, we find that sufficient cause has been shown as indicated in paragraphs-2 and 3 of the I.A. The delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

4. The I.A. is favoured and disposed of.



W.A. No.787 of 2024

4. The learned Additional Government Advocate submits that respondents have filed affidavit today i.e. 11th November, 2025 bringing on record certain facts pertaining the appeal. Scanned copy be updated. Copy of the affidavit be served on the learned counsel for the appellant who seeks time to obtain instruction.
5. At this stage, we are not deciding whether the affidavit which is filed by the respondents will be considered as the pleadings in the writ petition are available to be considered in appeal.
6. List for admission on 15th December, 2025.

(Manash Ranjan Pathak)
Judge

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge

Narayan/Ranjeeta