



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No. 8852 of 2026

Akhtar Ali

.....

Petitioner

Mr. N. Sarkar, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P.K. Panda, ASC

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY
ORDER
04.05.2026

Order No. 02 **I.A. No. 6668 of 2026**

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
3. This is an application seeking modification of order dated 30.03.2026. It is contended that this Court while disposing of the Writ Petition vide order dated 30.03.2026 permitted the Petitioner to make a representation before Opp. Party No.4 and for its consideration.
4. However, it is contended that Opp. Party No.2 is competent to consider the Petitioner's grievance. Accordingly, it is contended that instead of Opp. Party No.4, Petitioner be permitted to move Opp. Party No.2 for consideration of his grievance as made in the Writ Petition.



5. Learned Addl. Standing Counsel has no serious objection to the course of action proposed by the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the Parties and considering the submission made, this Court while disposing the I.A., permits the Petitioner to move Opp. Party No.2 by filing the application and Opp. Party No.2 is directed to take a decision on the same. Filing of the representation before the Opp. Party No.2 be made within a period of three (3) weeks from today and Opp. Party No.2 is directed to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of such representation.

7. Accordingly, the I.A. stands disposed of.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Jyoti