



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**  
**W.P.(C) No.7849 of 2026**

***Saurav Kumar Sahoo***

.....

***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. –

Mr. Nihal Rath

-versus-

***State of Odisha and others***

.....

***Opposite Parties***

Represented by Adv. –

Mr. U.C. Jena, ASC

**CORAM:**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA**

**ORDER**

**16.03.2026**

**Order No.**

01.        1.    This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2.    The subject matter of this petition is substantially similar to the one in W.P.(C) No.2552 of 2023 between ***Rashmi Ranjan Pattanayak v. State of Odisha*** disposed of by this Court vide judgment dated 17.11.2025, wherein the operative portion reads as under;

"In the above circumstances, this Writ Petition succeeds; a Writ of Certiorari issues quashing the impugned order whereby regularization has been denied to the petitioners. A Writ of Mandamus issues to the OPs to regularize the services of petitioners with retrospective effect from the date on which they completed six years of continuous service in terms of Government Resolution dated



17.09.2013 and to grant all consequential benefits to them within a period of three months, failing which petitioners may move an application for levying heavy costs on the concerned officials payable from their pocket for the delay brooked.”

3. It is a settled position of law that the like cases should be decided alike and where a set of litigants are granted a particular relief, others standing on the similar pedestal cannot be denied the same relief, subject to all just exceptions. The argued case of the present Petitioner does not fit into any such exceptions.

4. In the above circumstances, this Writ Petition succeeds; a writ of certiorari is issued quashing the impugned order whereby regularization has been denied to the Petitioner, a writ of mandamus is issued to the Opposite Parties to examine the matter in the light of the aforesaid judgment and in the event it is found that the Petitioner has completed six years of continuous service, as has been held in the above noted case and the Government Resolution dated 17.09.2013, then necessary order be passed for regularizing the service of the Petitioner and grant of all consequential benefits to him within a period of six weeks from the date of production of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the Petitioner may move an application for levying heavy costs on the concerned officials, payable from



their pocket for the delay brooked.

Now no costs.

Web copy of the order to be acted upon by all concerned.

**( A.K. Mohapatra )**  
**Judge**

*Debasis*

**Signature Not Verified**

Digitally Signed  
Signed by: DEBASIS AECH  
Reason: Authentication  
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT  
Date: 18-Mar-2026 18:10:09

