



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.2323 of 2026
BLAPL No. 2593 of 2026
&
BLAPL No. 2930 of 2026

BLAPL No. 2323 of 2026

Gangadhar Malik

.... **Petitioner(s)**
Mr. A. Mishra,
Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

.... **Opp. Party(s)**
Mr. P.S. Nayak, AGA

BLAPL No. 2593 of 2026

Amit Malik

.... **Petitioner(s)**
Mr. D.J. Sahoo,
Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

.... **Opp. Party(s)**
Mr. P.S. Nayak, AGA

BLAPL No. 2930 of 2026

Hiranya Kumar Mallik
@ Hiranya Mallik

.... **Petitioner(s)**
Mr. H. Malik,
Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

.... **Opp. Party(s)**
Mr. P.S. Nayak, AGA



CORAM:

JUSTICE SIBO SANKAR MISHRA

Order
No.

01.

ORDER
29.04.2026

1. The petitioners are accused in connection with Kendrapara Sadar P.S. Case No. 108 of 2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 362 of 2026 registered on the allegation of the alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 296/351(2)/3(5) of BNS, pending in the court of the SDJM, Kendrapara.

2. The petitioner had approached the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Kendrapara in B.A.No. 56 & 57 of 2026 praying for grant of bail. The learned Court below vide its order dated 02.03.2026 rejected the bail application of the petitioner. Being aggrieved, the petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 praying for enlargement on bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instruction from the petitioners, submits that, except the present bail application, no other bail application of the petitioners is pending in any other Court relating to the aforesaid F.I.R.

4. The prosecution case, as per the report of the informant is that on the relevant day while the informant being the Addl. IIC along with the other police staffs conducted raid at Omm Sai Restaurant for apprehension of one Bapi Malik who was accused in another case at that time, the informant came to know that the said Bapi Malik along with the petitioners and others were involved in the



alleged act of immoral trafficking of women. Thereafter from the spot the informant had apprehended the present petitioners and some incriminating materials have been seized from their possession.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after investigation, charge sheet in the present case has been filed on 18.04.2026 for offence of immoral act alone and none of the petitioners have any criminal antecedents. The petitioners are in custody since 18.02.2026.

6. Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

7. Regard being had to the nature of allegation and the fact that the petitioners are in judicial custody since 18.02.2026, I am inclined to enlarge the accused-Petitioners on bail.

8. Hence, the Petitioners are directed to be released on bail by the learned Court in seisin over the matter in the aforesaid case on such terms and conditions as it would deem just and proper, subject to the following additional conditions:

(i) They shall not leave the jurisdiction of the trial Court till the disposal of the trial;

(ii) They shall appear before the trial Court on each date on which the case is posted for trial;

(iii) They shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner whatsoever;

(iv) They shall not commit any offence while on bail, and

(v) They shall be released on bail subject to verification



of similar type of antecedents.

In the event, the petitioners are found wanting for violation of any of the bail conditions imposed by this Court or the trial Court even on a single occasion, the prosecution is at liberty to move appropriate application before the Court below for cancellation of the concession of bail granted by this Court. If such application is filed, the trial Court should decide the application on its own merit.

9. The BLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

(S.S. Mishra)
Judge