



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.6715 of 2022

M/s Swastik Stevedores Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

Mr. B. Baug, Sr. Advocate

-Versus-

**Paradip Port Trust, Paradip & Opposite Parties
others**

Mr. P. Mukherji, Advocate

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK

ORDER

10.12.2025

Order No.

06.

1. Heard in part.
2. To meet the query of the Court, Mr. Mukherji, learned counsel for the opposite parties requests for an adjournment.
3. Recorded the submission of Mr. Baug, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner.
4. For further hearing and final orders, list this matter on 8th January, 2026.

**(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge**

I.A. No.22265 of 2025

07.

1. Heard Mr. Baug, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner.



2. Instant I.A. is filed for a direction to the opposite parties to allow the petitioner to take away the articles lying inside the premises of suite No.1.
3. A copy of the I.A. is served on Mr. Mukherji, learned counsel for the opposite parties in the Court itself.
4. It is submitted to the Court by Mr. Baug, learned Senior Advocate for the petitioner that a computer system and other articles including the office records are lying in the alleged suite and the same are required to be removed.
5. It is informed to the Court that the key of the suite is with the petitioner.
6. Recording the submission of Mr. Baug, learned Senior Advocate that the petitioner may be required to inspect the records lying in the allotted suite, the same is needed to be removed. It is submitted that such inspection is necessary evidencing payment of the rent for the alleged period.
7. Considering the submission as above, the Court is inclined to direct removal of the computer system and records from the suite in question by the petitioner in the immediate presence of the officials of the opposite parties. The Court is also of the view that before any such removal, the opposite parties may conduct an inventory in respect of the articles allowed to be removed from the suite. Such inspection and removal of the articles with the inventory shall be held on 5th January, 2026 in presence of the Senior Assistant Estate Manager or any other designated officer of the Paradip Port



Authority, as such a course of action is considered necessary for proper and effective adjudication of the dispute between the parties.

8. Accordingly, it is directed.

9. I.A. stands disposed of allowing the petitioner to remove the articles subject to inventory held in the presence of the above named official or such other officer of the Paradip Port Authority.

10. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Alok