



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No. 347 of 2026

Lingeswar Laria

.....

Petitioner

Mr. Dhirendra Kumar Mohapatra, Advocate

-versus-

1. State of Odisha

2. Director General-cum-Inspector

General of Police, Orissa,

Cantonment Road, District-Cuttack

3. Superintendent of Police,

Sundargarh

4. Inspector-in-Charge, Dharuadihi

Police Station, Dharuadihi, District-

Sundargarh

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.K. Rout, ASC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

23.03.2026

Order No.

(Through hybrid mode)

01.

1. This CRLMP has been filed for a direction to the Opposite Parties to register the FIR, carry out necessary investigation, take stringent action against the accused persons and to hand over the investigation to some independent agency.

2. The synopsis and the date chart in the case are extracted below:

“

SYNOPSIS

The petitioner challenges the inaction of the opp. parties more specifically the opp. parties No.3 & 4 in



registering the FIR lodged by the petitioner though time and again the petitioner made complaint to take action.”

“ DATE & EVENT

05.06.2024 : The written report dated
05.06.2024

05.06.2024 : The written report dated
05.06.2024 along with postal
receipt.”

3. Mr. S.K. Rout, learned Additional Standing Counsel produces the instructions dated 22.03.2026 of the IIC, Dharuadihi Police Station, District-Sundargarh where it is stated that on the report of one Girijashankar Hati, Junior Accountant of the District Police Office, Sundargarh, Dharuadihi P.S. Case No. 07 dated 19.05.2009 had been registered under Sections 419/ 205/ 209 of IPC regarding cheating and personation of Jasoda Dei Laria and Putuni Laria and was investigated into. During investigation Jasoda Dei Laria and Putuni Laria were arrested and forwarded to the Court and charge sheet had been submitted. During trial, Putuni Laria expired and the other accused was acquitted under Section 248 (1) of Cr.P.C. vide judgment dated 24.04.2024 passed by the learned JMFC, Rural, Sundargarh in G.R. Case No. 274 of 2009. Hence when the



Petitioner lodged the FIR, the allegations had already been investigated in Dharuadihi P.S. Case No. 07 of 2009, so fresh case was not registered. It was also found that Ude Laria who was serving as Constable in the Police Department is the son of Jagabandhu Laria and basing upon the order of the High Court dated 06.09.2024, the Treasury Officer, Sundargarh has been directed by the A.G., Odisha to release the family pension to Jasoda Dei Laria from the date of death of Ude Laria expired and Jasoda Dei Laria is now getting pension as widow of Ude Laria. It was also found that the Petitioner Lingeswar Laria is the son of Ude Laria who is the son of Barna Laria, hence, Ude Laria who was serving as Constable and his father was Jagabandhu Laria are not the same person as mentioned in the service book of Ude Laria. The said instructions are taken on record. It shall be scanned and incorporated in the digital record.

4. Mr. Dhirendra Kumar Mohapatra, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner was not aware of the registration of Dharuadihi P.S. Case No. 07 of 2009 or the death of one accused and the acquittal of other accused by the learned learned



JMFC, Rural, Sundargarh in G.R. Case No. 274 of 2009-T.R. No. 230 of 2023.

5. In view of the above background of the case, I am not satisfied that any direction should be issued in exercise of power under Article 226 of the Constitution.

6. In view of the instructions, the submission of Mr. Mohapatra, learned counsel for the Petitioner and without issuing any direction, the CRLMP is disposed of. It is open to him to pursue the remedies which may be available to him under law.

7. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

puspa