



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CONTC No.1447 of 2026

Sujit Kumar Nayak

....

Petitioner

*Ms. S. Sthitaprajna,
Proxy Counsel*

-versus-

***Bhanumati Majhi,
Tahasildar, Kanika,
Rajkanika, Kendrapara***

....

***Opposite Party/
Contemnor***

*Mr. Siba Narayan Biswal,
Additional Standing Counsel*

CORAM: JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER

09.04.2026

Order No.

02.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Pursuant to order dated 17.03.2026, Mrs. Bhanumati Majhi, the present Tahasildar, Kanika, is physically present before this Court. On being asked, she submits that she is continuing as Tahasildar, Kanika since 16.05.2025.

3. Mr. Biswal, learned ASC for the alleged Contemnor files Compliance Affidavit in the Court after handing over a copy thereof to the learned Proxy Counsel for the Petitioner, which be kept on record.

4. It has been stated in the Compliance Affidavit that Demarcation Case No.09 of 2023 has been disallowed since 19.04.2024 on the ground that as per the report of B.C., O.L.R., the land in question is coming under Ceiling Surplus.



5. Learned Proxy Counsel, on behalf of the learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits, it was never communicated to the Petitioner regarding dropping of Demarcation Case No.09 of 2023 and he was under an impression that the said case is still pending, for which the Petitioner preferred the writ petition for inaction of the Authority to deal with and dispose of the said case within a stipulated period.

6. However, in view of the above, the CONTC proceeding stands dropped and disposed of.

7. It is made clear that, the Petitioner, if feels aggrieved by the order dated 19.04.2024 passed in Demarcation Case No.09 of 2023, may approach the appropriate forum for redressal of his grievance.

Kanhu

(S.K. MISHRA)
JUDGE