



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.6818 of 2026

Pravati Pradhan

....

Petitioner

Mr. P.C. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. A. Tripathy, AGA

Mr. L.K. Moharana, Adv.

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

26.03.2026

Order No.

- 02.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
- 3.** Learned counsel appearing for the TPCODL basing on the instruction contended that as admitted in the Writ Petition the work could not be executed by the private contractor of the Petitioner because of the resistance from local people.
- 4.** It is accordingly contended that Opposite Party-TPCODL has no role with regard to shifting of 11 KV line as the work is being executed by the Petitioner through his own contractor.



5. Considering the submission of Mr. Moharana, learned counsel and the stand taken in Para-8 of the Writ Petition, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner prays for withdrawal of the Writ Petition with liberty to move the appropriate forum in seeking police protection for execution of the work.

6. With the aforesaid liberty, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat