



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMP No. 348 of 2026

Victim

.....

Petitioner

Mr. Dolagobinda Mohapatra, Advocate

-versus-

1. State of Odisha

.....

Opposite Parties

2. Superintendent of Police,

Mr. S. K. Rout,

Jagatsinghpur

Additional Standing Counsel

3. IIC, Paradip Police Station,

Jagatsinghpur

4. IIC-cum-DSP, Paradip Luck

Police Station, Jagatsinghpur

5. Kalandi Barik

6. Jaga Barik

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

26.03.2026

Order No.

(Through hybrid Mode)

05.

1. Pursuant to order dated 23.03.2026, Mr. S. K. Rout, learned Additional Standing Counsel produces the case diary in CRLMP No. 348 of 2026 arising out of Paradeep Lock PS Case No. 208 of 2025 and CRLMP No. 348 of 2026 arising out of Paradeep Lock PS Case No. 42 of 2026.

2. Perusal of the order dated 23.03.2026 reveals that the name of the learned counsel has been typed incorrectly in paragraph-2 as “Dolaboninda” in place of “Dolagobinda” Mohapatra. In paragraph-3, the words “status of” have been typed twice. The said typographical errors be corrected.

3. Pursuant to order dated 23.03.2026, although the learned State Counsel had been directed to obtain instructions regarding status of



investigation in Paradeep Lock PS Case No. 208 of 2025 as well as Paradeep Lock PS Case No. 42 of 2026. But instead of producing the instructions, he has produced the case diary in both the cases.

4. Perusal of the case diary reveals that investigation has been conducted in Paradeep Lock PS Case No. 208 of 2025 and the accused, who had been arrested by the police has been granted bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jagatsinghpur and the DSP-in charge- Bandar Marine police station has conducted raid for arrest of the co-accused Jaga Barik.

5. Paradeep Lock PS Case No. 208 of 2025 has been registered on 27.06.2025 under Sections 332(c)/75/296/115(2)/351(2)/3(5)/8 of the BNS read with Section 3(1)(r)/3(1)(s)/3(1)(w)/3(2)(va) of the Scheduled castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. In view of Rule-7(2) of the SC and ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Rule, 1995, which provides that investigation should be completed within a period of 60 days, it is expected that the investigation will be completed expeditiously and final form submitted.

6. As regards Paradeep Lock PS Case No. 42 of 2026, perusal of the case diary reveals that investigation has been promptly conducted by the Sub-inspector of Paradeep Lock Police Station and he has also found that the accused has two criminal antecedents, namely, Paradeep Lock PS Case No. 208 dated 27.06.2025 under Section 332(c)/75/296/115(2)/351(2)/3(5)/8 of the BNS read with Section 3(1)(r)/3(1)(s)/3(1)(w)/3(2)(va) of the SC and ST (POA) Act and Paradeep Lock PS Case No. 121 dated 24.08.2015 under Section 452/354(B)/323/506 of the IPC. But the IO found that the offences leveled against the accused are punishable under 7 years of imprisonment and as he was found to be complying with the



conditions of bond executed by him, it was not necessary to arrest him. Investigation is continuing to identify the person who had been instigated by the main accused- Kalandi Barik to threaten and harass the daughter of the petitioner while she was returning home from tuition.

7. As these allegations are serious in nature, although the punishments may be less than 7 years, it is expected that the investigating officer shall complete the investigation expeditiously and submit final form and take action as provided under law, if the accused are found to be threatening the witnesses either before their release on bail or even after their release on bail.

8. With these observations/ directions, the CRLMP is disposed of.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Subhalaxmi