



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 7430 OF 2021

Avisekha Behera

....

Petitioner

Mr. Goutam Mukherjee, Senior Advocate
being assisted by Mr. Soumya Dev Ray, Advocate

-versus-

***Orissa State Road Transport
Corporation represented through the
Managing Director, Bhubaneswar and
others***

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Amitav Tripathy, Advocate
(For Opp. Party Nos.1 to 3-OSRTC)

Mr. Srikant Kumar Nayak, Advocate
(For Opp. Party No.4-CMC)

Mr. Dayananda Mohapatra, Senior Advocate
being assisted by Mr. M.R. Pradhan, Advocate
(For Opp. Party No.5-CDA)

**CORAM:
JUSTICE K.R. MOHAPATRA
JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO**

ORDER

09.12.2025

Order No.

- 19.
1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
 2. The Petitioner claims rehabilitation as a Street Vendor.
 3. Learned counsel for the parties read out the relevant provisions of the Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Act, 2014 (for brevity 'the Act'). In one hand, Mr. Mukherjee, learned Senior Advocate, submits that the Petitioner squarely falls under the definition of "Street



Vendor”, that too as a stationary vendor, but on the other hand, Mr. Nayak, learned counsel for the CMC referring to the provisions of the Act, submits that the Petitioner is not a Street Vendor. Hence, he is not entitled to be rehabilitated under the provisions of the Act.

4. In view of the controversy, learned counsel for the parties pray for an adjournment to address the Court on the aforesaid issue.

5. Put up this matter on 28th January, 2026 along with the connected matters.

6. Interim order dated 4th March, 2021 passed in I.A. No.3436 of 2021 shall continue till the next date.

(K.R. Mohapatra)
Judge

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

ms