



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.5990 of 2026

Ujal Bhoi

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Biraja Prasanna Das

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. S.K. Parhi, ASC

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

24.02.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ petition as well as documents annexed thereto.
3. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayers:

“It is, therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue a Rule Nisi calling upon the opposite parties to show cause, on perusal of the causes shown be further pleased to: -

i) quash the deployment vis-a-vis impugned Transfer Order No.1403 dt.03.02.2026 of the O.P. No.2, DEO, Bolangir under Annexure-2 as illegal, arbitrary, contrary and malafide and in as much as contravention of X prevailing guidelines under Annexure-3 & 4.

ii) Direct the arrayed O.P. No.2, DEO, Bolangir to consider his case for not to transfer the petitioner to the Govt. Primary School, Ledhapadar under Muribahal Block from Gavasara Govt. Primary School under Gudvela Block and allow him to continue at old station, Gavasara Govt.



Primary School under Gudvela Block..”

4. Being aggrieved by the order dated 03.02.2026 passed by the District Education Officer, Balangir-Opposite Party No.2 thereby transferring the Petitioner in the present place of posting by way of deployment to Government Primary School in Ledhapadar under Muribahal Block. The Petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present writ petition. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset contended that the transfer begin in a mid-academic session is cause immemorable difficulties to the present Petitioner. He further submitted that the daughter of the present Petitioner has been likely to appear in the annual university examination of this year. It is also contended that although the Petitioner has been transferred alone however no reliever has been posted in his place and that the Petitioner has not been relieved as of now.

5. Learned counsel for the State on the other hand contended that the Petitioner should have first approached the Opposite Party No.2 instead of approaching this court by filing the present writ application. On the said ground learned counsel for the state contended that the present writ petition being premature is liable to be dismissed at the threshold.

6. Having regard to the submission made by the learned counsel for the respective parties, on a careful examination of the backgrounds facts, further taking note of the limited nature of the grievance involved in the present writ application the fact that the Petitioner is not approach the Opposite Party No.2 for redressal of his grievance, this Court deems it proper to dispose of the writ petition at the stage of admission by granting liberty to the Petitioner to approach the Opposite Party No.2 by filing a detailed



representation taking therein all the grounds within a week from today. In such eventuality the Opposite Party No.2 shall do well to consider such representation in terms of the circular/notification of the Government and keeping in view the person in convenient of the Petitioner and pass necessary order of such representation within a period of four weeks'. It is further directed that in the event the Petitioner has not been relieved from his present place of posting, he shall not be relieved for a period of six weeks.

7. With the aforesaid observations/ directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

Suchitra