



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.6020 of 2026

Bijay Kumar Mahanta

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Sushanta Kumar Mishra

-versus-

State Of Orissa & Others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. S.K. Parhi, ASC

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

24.02.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
2. On the request of the learned counsel for the Petitioner is permitted to correct the description of the Opposite Party No.3- the District Education Officer, Mayurbhanj to the District Education Officer, Keonjhar in the cause title of the writ application.
3. Let the corrected copy of the cause title be uploaded by tomorrow.
4. Issue emergent notice. Learned ASC, on request accepts notice for all the opposite Parties. Required number of copies of the writ petition with all documents be served on him within three working days.
5. List this matter along with W.P.(C) No.34870 of 2025.



I.A. No.3685 of 2026

6. An application in I.A. No.3685 of 2026 supported by affidavit is moved seeking reprieve at the hands of this Court.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently argues that appointment of the petitioner, especially after the report, could not have been kept in abeyance at all and therefore, the abeyance should be kept in abeyance. There is force in this submission.

8. Ordinarily, when appointment fructifies by the reporting of the duty by the appointee, the same is not kept in suspended animation. Such cases are very rare in service jurisprudence.

9. In the above circumstances, the application having been favoured, the order keeping the petitioner's appointment in abeyance is kept in abeyance and therefore, the appointment fructifies.

10. Accordingly, I.A. disposed of.

Web copy of the order to be acted upon by all concerned.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

Suchitra