



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

FAO No. 311 of 2018

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Appellants

Mr. P.K. Panda, ASC

-versus-

Sarojini Mohapatra & Anr.

.....

Respondents

Mr. S.D. Routray, Advocate
(Respondent No. 1)

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

25.07.2025

Order No.06

I.A. No. 587 of 2025

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard.
3. This application has been filed seeking recalling of order dtd.28.03.2025.
4. It is contended that the appeal in question has been filed by the State challenging Judgment dtd.01.12.2017 passed by the State Education Tribunal in GIA Case No. 78 of 2012.
 - 4.1. It is contended that Private-Respondent No. 1 entered his appearance before this Court by filing the Vakalatnama on 22.04.2025. But prior to the appearance of the Private-Respondent No. 1, vide order dtd.28.03.2025 the FAO was disposed of in the light of the Judgment passed in FAO No. 509 of 2014 & batch, although the issue involved is covered by the subsequent judgment delivered by this Court on 23.06.2025 in FAO No. 281 of 2018 & batch. It is accordingly contended that order dtd.28.03.2025 be recalled and the



FAO be disposed of in the light of the Judgment dtd.23.06.2025 so passed in in FAO No. 281 of 2018 & batch.

5. Mr. P.K. Panda, learned Addl. Standing Counsel on the other hand contended that since order dtd.28.03.2025 was passed after hearing the learned counsel appearing for the Parties, the said order is not required to be recalled.

6. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties and considering the submissions made and after going through the record, it is found that the Private Respondent No. 1 entered his appearance before this Court by filing Vakalatnama on 22.04.2025 and as on 28.03.2025 no one was there on behalf of Private Respondent No. 1.

6.1. This Court after going through the impugned judgment, also finds that the issue involved in the GIA case is covered by the subsequent judgment passed by this Court in FAO No. 281 of 2018 & batch.

6.2. In view of the same, this Court is inclined to recall order dtd.28.03.2025 and restore the FAO to its file.

7. I.A. stands disposed of.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

07. FAO No. 311 of 2018

1. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.

2. It is contended by the learned counsel appearing for the Parties that the issue involved in the present appeal is covered by the judgment of this Court so passed on 23.06.2025 in FAO No. 281 of 2018 and batch.



3. In view of such submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the Parties, the present FAO is disposed of in the light of the judgment so passed in FAO No. 281 of 2018 and batch.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Sneha

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SNEHANJALI PARIDA
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 28-Jul-2025 18:21:59

