



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.1962 of 2025

Akshaya G. Chavan **Petitioner**
Mr. M. Das, Advocate
-Versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**
Mr. C.M. Singh, ASC

BLAPL No.2082 of 2025

Salim Saha **Petitioner**
Mr. J.K. Majhi, Advocate
-Versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**
Mr. C.M. Singh, ASC

And

BLAPL No.2128 of 2025

Prakash Behera **Petitioner**
Mr. S.N. Mishra-4, Advocate
-Versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**
Mr. C.M. Singh, ASC

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIK

ORDER
24.03.2025

Order
No.
01.

1. The bail petitions are clubbed together for disposal by the following common order.
2. Heard learned counsels for the petitioners and State.



3. Instant petitions are filed for release of the petitioners on bail in connection with C.T. Case No.1760 of 2024 pending in the file of learned J.M.F.C., Soro corresponding to Soro P.S. Case No.1082 of 2024 on the grounds stated.

4. Perused the FIR as at Annexure-1 and rejection orders i.e. Annexure-3.

5. Learned counsels for the petitioners submit that the petitioners have been brought on remand after being arrested and are in custody ever since then. The further submission is that one of them, namely, Akshaya G. Chavan is the receiver, from whom, the recovery and seizure is made of a piece of melted gold. As far as the other two accused persons are concerned, Mr. Majhi, learned counsel for the petitioner in BLAPL No.2082 of 2025 submits that the accused has been implicated in view of him having other antecedents. Mr. Mishra, learned counsel for the accused, namely, Prakash Behera submits that the only role allegedly played by him is by showing the alleged house in which burglary was committed. It is submitted by learned counsels for the petitioners that all have been arrested on remand on different dates and considering the same, even though, the chargesheet is not filed, they should be allowed to go on bail with any conditions. Mr. Singh, learned ASC for the State refers to the statement of witness, namely, Jagatranjan Das recorded under Section 180 BNSS and also that of one of the accused, namely, Salim Saha to claim that there has been a disclosure made during investigation about the alleged burglary. It is claimed that in presence of above name witness, the confession was made revealing the alleged incident and the involvement of the petitioners. Considering the above facts and submissions of learned counsel for the respective parties and as all the accused persons are brought on remand and their implication as it appears is based on the



confessional statement of the accused, namely, Salim Saha and in so far as the recovery is concerned, a melted piece of gold was seized from one of them, namely, Akshaya G. Chavan, who is having other antecedents besides a cash of Rs.4,000/- from the accused, namely, Prakash Behera, the Court, under the impression that substantial part of investigation to be over by now, is inclined to allow all to go on bail, however, with stringent conditions.

6. Hence, it is ordered.

7. In the result, the petitions stand allowed. Consequently, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in connection with C.T. Case No.1760 of 2024 arising out of Soro P.S. Case No.1082 of 2024 subject to them furnishing bail bonds of Rs.40,000/- (rupees forty thousand) each with one solvent surety for the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M.F.C., Soro, who shall be at liberty to impose such other suitable conditions as deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case besides the following, such as, they shall attend the PS and report the IO once in a week for the purpose of investigation, till the same over and to furnish an undertaking not to commit further mischief of similar nature, after being released.

8. The BLAPLs are disposed of.

9. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

TUDU

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: THAKURDAS TUDU
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC, CTC
Date: 25-Mar-2025 11:46:45

