



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.5925 of 2026

Prasanta Moharana

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Pujarani Muduli

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. C.M. Singh, ASC

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

24.02.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“The petitioner therefore prays that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit this Writ Petition, issued Rule NISI in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other writ(s) as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper by calling upon the opp. parties to show cause as to why the appointment given to the another candidate shall not be set aside and if the opp. parties fail to show cause or show any insufficient cause, the Rule be made absolute;

And in the interest of Justice necessary direction may



kindly be issued to the Opp. Parties no.2 & 3 to make proper enquiry on the representation petition submitted by the petitioner under annexure-5 within a stipulated period and to take necessary steps to allow the petitioner to continue in the post of lecturer in Sanskrit at Raghunathjew Junior College, Cuttack,

And may pass such other order/orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper;”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the Opposite Party Nos.2 and 3. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party Nos.2 & 3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that he has no objection if the Court directs the Opposite Party No.2 & 3 to consider and dispose of the representation of the Petitioner, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party Nos.2 & 3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party Nos.2 & 3 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 by passing a



speaking and reasoned order. The final decision so taken by the Opposite Party Nos.2 & 3 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observation/ direction, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

Suchitra