



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.6413 of 2026

Sanjib Samal

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Jaspreet Kaur

-versus-

State Of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. U.C. Jena, ASC

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

27.02.2026

Order No.

- 01.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ petition as well as documents annexed thereto.
 3. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayers:

“It is therefore prayed that tis Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit this writ petition and issue writ of mandamus directing the Opp. Parties, more particularly to the Opp. Party No. 2 i.e. the Director Higher Education odisha to give appointment to the petitioner under Rehabilitation Assistance Scheme as per the application made keeping in view of the judgement of this Hon'ble Court in case of RitanjaliGiri @Paul vrs State of Odisha and others, reported in 2016



(1) ILR-CUT-1162 and Government of Odisha, Department of School & Mass Education Memo No. 16029/SME dated 01.08.2019 within a stipulated period.

And pass any other appropriate order as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner may be granted liberty to file a fresh representation ventilating his grievance before the Opposite Party No.2 which may be considered within a stipulated period of time in accordance with law.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on the other hand submits that he has no objection if liberty is granted to the Petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Opposite Party No.2 and the same is considered and disposed of in accordance with law.

6. In view of the aforesaid limited nature of grievance of the petitioner, this Court disposes of the writ petition granting liberty to the Petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Opposite Party No.2 ventilating his grievance within a period of three weeks. If such representation is filed within the time stipulated, the same shall be considered and disposed of by the Opposite Party No.2 keeping in view the ratio laid down in W.P.(C) No.25604 of 2020 disposed of on 14.10.2020 and by following the ratio in ***Ritanjali Giri @ Paul vs. State of Odisha & Others***, reported in ***2016 (I) ILR CUTTACK 1162*** within a period of six weeks from the date of filing representation by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken on the same shall be communicated to the Petitioner within a period of ten days from the date of taking such a



decision. In the event it is found that the Petitioner is entitled to the claim made in the present writ application and there are no other legal impediments, necessary steps be taken for disbursal of such financial benefits within four weeks from the date of taking such a decision.

7. With the aforesaid observations/ directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout