



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No. 234 of 2026

Victim *Petitioner*

Mr. Amitav Tripathy, Advocate
on behalf of Mr. Kabiraj Pradhan, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Others *Opp. Parties*

Mr. Amitabh Pradhan,
Additional Standing Counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

19.03.2026

Order No.

(Through hybrid Mode)

03. 1. Heard Mr. Amitav Tripathy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Kabiraj Pradhan, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. Amitabh Pradhan, learned Additional Standing Counsel.
2. As per the complaint submitted on 26.11.2025, Bharatpur P.S. Case No. 808 of 2025 was registered on 04.12.2025. The case diary in Bharatpur P.S. Case No. 808 of 2025 has been produced. Perusal of the same does not reveal that the accused has been interrogated by the I.O. at any time before filing of the final report.
3. It also appears that the case has been registered on 04.12.2025, CRLMP No. 1617 of 2025 has been filed by the Petitioner on 01.12.2025. But in view of the fact that the identity of the victim has been disclosed in the petition, on 11.02.2026, the CRLMP No. 1617 of 2025 has been dismissed as withdrawn



granting liberty to the Petitioner to file a fresh application following the guidelines of the Supreme Court regarding non-disclosure of the identity of the victim in any petition filed before the Court. Thereafter, the present CRLMP has been filed.

4. In the instructions dated 26.02.2026, it has been mentioned that final report dated 31.01.2026 has been submitted in the case which is before disposal of CRLMP No. 1617 of 2025 on 13.02.2026.

5. Be that at as it may, as the case diary does not disclose that the accused in the case has at any time been contacted or questioned by the I.O., list this case on 24.03.2026 to enable Mr. Amitabh Pradhan, learned Additional Standing Counsel to go through the case diary and apprise the Court if the accused has any time being contacted by the I.O. or questioned / interrogated in connection with this case and whether this was necessary before filing the final report.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Sukanta