



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No.5590 of 2026

Bhagirathi Dalei

....

Petitioner
Mr. D.K. Raj, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties
Mr. P.P. Behera, ASC

COROM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER
25.02.2026

Order No

- 01.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.
3. The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

“It is therefore, most humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court be graciously pleased to:-

i) Admit the writ application.

ii) Call for the records.

iii) Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other writ/writs, direction/directions, directing the Opposite parties, particularly Opp. Party No.3 to extend the benefit of pension and other retiral benefits as due and admissible in favour of the petitioner, by treating the petitioner deemed to be retired from service w.e.f 23.12.2025 in terms of Rule-42 of the Odisha Civil Service (Pension) Rules 1992, keeping in view the law decided by this Hon'ble court in the case of Dr. Satya Prasanna



Nayak Vrs. State of Odisha & Ors. (WPC(OAC) No.812 of 2019 disposed of on 24.11.2023) and further the petitioner may be extended with all other consequential and retiral benefits including arrear within a reasonable time to be stipulated by this Hon'ble Court.

iv) other order/orders, And/or pass any direction/directions as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper for the ends of justice.

And for the said act of kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner state that highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.3 vide Annexure-6 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-6 in accordance with law within a period of three (3) months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Jyoti