



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMP No. 366 of 2026

Informant

.....

Petitioner

None

-versus-

1.State of Odisha

2.Superintendent of Police, Bhadrak

3. The IIC, Naikanidhi Police

Staion, Bhadrak

4.Ajaya Mohanty

5. Kuni Mohanty

6. Minu Mohanty

7. Sasmita Bhanja

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. A.K. Apat,

Additional Government Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

25.03.2026

Order No.

01.

(Through hybrid Mode)

1. This CRLMP has been filed for a direction to the Opposite Party for register the FIR against the delinquents and take up investigation and hand over the victim girl to the guardian.

2. Perusal of the CRLMP reveals that it has been alleged that on 02.12.2025 about 6 p.m the minor daughter of the peititoner was kidnapped by the accused along with his 4 associates in 3 bikes. As the informant could not trace them, she lodged FIR before the Naikanidhi Police Station but the FIR was not registered nor was any investigation carried out. After which the petitioner sent grievance petition dated 16.01.2026 to the SP, Bhadrak by speed post on 19.01.2026.

3. Instructions has been received by Mr. Apat, learned



Additional Government Advocate, wherein it is stated that Naikanidhi PS Case No. 53 dated 26.02.2026 under Section 137(2)/49/3(5) of the BNS has been registered on the complaint of the petitioner. During inquiry it was found that the date of birth of the victim is 10.11.2007 which indicated that she is a major. Her statement has been recorded at Sahidnagar Police Station, Bhubaneswar by lady SI Lipsa Das in audio video mode under Section 180 BNSS. The victim has stated that she has not been kidnapped by anybody and at present she is staying with her husband and does not want to return to her parental house in Naikanidihi. She has also submitted a written submission with her signature.

4. Since none appears on behalf of the petitioner, it is presumed that the petitioner is aware of the registration of the case and the statement of the victim recorded during investigation.

5. In view of the above developments, no direction is required to be issued in the CRLMP, which is accordingly disposed of.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Subhalaxmi