



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.5495 of 2026

Nigama Priya Panda

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. Rohit Ranjan Ray

-versus-

State Of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –

Smt. Sasmita Nayak,
A.S.C.

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

23.03.2026

I.A. No.4815 of 2026

Order No.

02.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. This interlocutory application has been filed by the Petitioner seeking modification of order dated 25.02.2026 passed by this Court in the aforesaid writ petition.
3. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.
4. Considering the submissions made, the order dated 25.02.2026 is hereby recalled.
5. The I.A. is, accordingly, disposed of.

W.P.(C) No.5495 of 2026

6. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned



counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.

7. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“In view of the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner fervently prays that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit the writ petition, issue Rule NISI directing the Opp. Parties to allow the petitioner to continue in her present place of Posting at Judhistipur Govt. Primary School, Balasore and the transfer order as at Annexure-3 may not be acted upon particularly in case of the present petitioner.”

8. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating her grievance has submitted representation before the Opposite Party No.3 under Annexure-5. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 within a stipulated period of time.

9. Learned counsel for the State submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.3, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

10. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5,



keeping in view Annexure-4 series, within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.3 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-5 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.3 be also communicated to the Petitioner within two weeks thereafter.

11. With the aforesaid observations/directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Debasis