



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.5335 of 2026

Satrughan Roul

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Subhransu Bhusan
Mohanty

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. C.M. Singh, ASC

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

24.02.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ petition as well as documents annexed thereto.
3. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayers:

“It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased:-

(i) To Admit the Writ petition

(ii) To direct the opposite parties to sanction the revised pay with arrear salary w.e.f. 24.12.1991 in the corresponding Revised pay Order different pay Revision in view of Govt. order dtd. 16.12.1989 as well as consequential approval order of the Director, dtd. 24.12.1991 (Annexure-2) in respect of the petitioner, till retirement after adjusting the consolidated pay (paid) under Block Grant policy from 01.02.2009 and arrear pensionary benefits be also sanctioned with current pension within a specified time taking into account the fag end of life



with old age ailments.

And may pass any other order /orders as deemed fit and proper in the interest of justice.”

4. It is stated by the learned counsel for the Petitioner has already approached the Director, Higher Education Odisha- the Opposite Party No.2 for redressal of his grievance by filing a detailed representation dated 08.12.2026 at annexure-5. The same is stated to be pending before the Opposite Party No.2 for taking a final decision on it. Being aggrieved by such inaction in the part of the Opposite Party No.2 to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner, the Petitioner has approached this Court by filling the present writ petition.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State at this juncture contended that since the Petitioner has already approached the Opposite Part No.2 and in the event such representation is still pending for taking of final decision on it, he will have no objection if this Court directs the Opposite Party No.2 to consider and dispose of the representation of the Petitioner strictly in accordance with the applicable rules/ notifications/ guidelines within a stipulated period of times.

6. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for both the sides, on a careful examination of the background facts, further taking note of the limited nature of the grievance, this Court deems it proper to dispose of the writ petition, at the stage of admission by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh detailed representation taking therein all the grounds along with all the supporting documents within three weeks from today. In such eventuality, the Opposite Party No.2 shall do well to consider and dispose of the representation in accordance with the



rules and the circular/ notifications and dispose of the representation by passing a speaking and reasoned order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of such representation. The final decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.2 be communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations/ directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

Suchitra