



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No. 265 of 2026

1. Ch. Sampath Vinayak
2. Ch. Venketa Ramana
3. Smt. Ch. Sarita **Petitioners**

Mr. Soubhagya Kumar Dash, Advocate

-versus-

1. State of Odisha
2. The victim **Opp. Parties**

Mr. Raj Bhusan Dash,
Additional Standing Counsel

CORAM:

JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

06.03.2026

Order No.

(Through hybrid Mode)

01. 1. This CRLMP has been filed for quashing the entire proceeding and FIR in Gunupur P.S. Case No. 248 of 2025 which corresponds to G.R. Case No. 428 of 2025 pending in the Court of the learned S.D.J.M., Gunupur.
2. Mr. Soubhagya Kumar Dash, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the FIR in this case was lodged on 15.11.2025 by Opposite Party No.2 alleging that Petitioner No.1 and Opposite Party No.2 were classmates and known to each other and relationship developed between them and he emotionally manipulated her and established physical relationship with her and also promised to marry her. As a result of their physical relation, she became pregnant and on his insistence, the pregnancy was terminated as he assured that he would marry her if she did so. But subsequently he refused to



marry her and also threatened to kill her and her family members, for which he has lodged FIR. Thereafter, the Petitioner No.1 and Opposite Party No.2 have got married on 08.01.2026 and the certificate of marriage is annexed to this petition as Annexure-2. He submits that Opposite Party No.2 was a major at the time of occurrence and due to some problems, the marriage between them could not be solemnized earlier, but since they have married and are leading a happy conjugal life, the case may be quashed. He also submits that if the matter is adjourned, the Opposite Party No.2 shall appear through counsel in the case.

3. Issue notice to the Opposite Parties.

4. List this case on 18.03.2026 to enable Mr. Raj Bhusan Dash, learned Additional Standing Counsel to obtain instructions from the I.I.C., Gunupur Police Station / I.O. in the case regarding the marriage of Petitioner No.1 and Opposite Party No.2 and whether Opposite Party No.2 is staying in the house of Petitioner No.1 and they are leading a happy conjugal life, whether she has been accepted as wife of Petitioner No.1 by the other family members.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Sukanta