



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.1533 of 2026

Chintamani Sahu

... ***Petitioner***

Mr. G.P. Lal, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

... ***Opposite Party***

Mr. T.K. Acharya, Addl. PP

CORAM:
JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

ORDER(ORAL)
25.03.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. This is a bail application U/S.483 of the BNSS by the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Rengali PS Case No. 212 of 2022 corresponding to ST Case No.119/38 of 2026 pending in the Court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge-II, Sambalpur for commission of offences punishable U/S. 302 of IPC, on the main allegation of committing murder of one Abhishek Khamari by giving blows with an axe.
3. Heard, Mr. Gopal Prasad Lal, learned counsel for the petitioner appearing virtually and Mr. T.K. Acharya, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor in the matter and perused the record.
4. After having considered the rival submissions upon perusal of record, there appears allegation against the Petitioner for committing murder of the deceased by giving axe blows, but in the meantime, 18 witnesses



have already been examined, however, the trial is yet to be concluded. It is not dispute that the bail application of the Petitioner was earlier rejected by this Court in BLAPL No. 867 of 2025, but thereafter, the petitioner has renewed his prayer for bail after examination of two more witnesses, however, this Court has rejected the bail application of the Petitioner by taking into account the material placed on record keeping in view the evidence of PW9,10 & 13 which are still there. It is, therefore, considered that there is no material change in circumstance. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offences as alleged against the petitioner vis-à-vis the accusations sought to be brought against him and regard being had to the materials placed on record keeping in view the evidence of PW9,10 & 13, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Hence, the bail application of the petitioner stand rejected. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of. A soft copy of the order be immediately sent to the learned trial Court.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge

Priyajit