



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.1173 of 2026

Alok Naik & others **Petitioners**

Mr. D.K. Mohapatra, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**

Mr. S.K. Lenka, ASC

ABLAPL No.1273 of 2026

Arman Pattnaik & another **Petitioners**

Mr. U.S. Patel, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha ... **Opposite Party**

Mr. S.K. Lenka, ASC

ABLAPL No.1318 of 2026

Kalia @ Mahammad Jauddin and others **Petitioners**

Mr. D.K. Mohapatra, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**

Mr. S.K. Lenka, ASC



ABLAPL No.1845 of 2026

Sumant Mohapatra & others **Petitioners**

Mr. D.K. Mohapatra, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**

Mr. S.K. Lenka, ASC

And

ABLAPL No.1908 of 2026

Sourav Sahu **Petitioner**

Ms. D.R. Nanda, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**

Mr. S.K. Lenka, ASC

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK

ORDER
25.02.2026

Order No.

01. 1. The ABLAPLs are disposed of by the following order.
2. Heard learned counsels for the petitioners and Mr. Lenka,
 learned ASC for the State.



3. Instant petitions under Section 482 BNSS are filed for pre-arrest bail of the petitioners in connection with Sundargarh Town P.S. Case No.29 of 2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No.103 of 2026 pending in the file of learned S.D.J.M., Sundargarh on the grounds stated therein.

4. Perused the FIR dated 15th January, 2026 as at Annexure-1 and it reveals the details of the alleged incident which took place on the said date. The reason behind the incident stand described therein. It is made to appear from Annexure-1 that there was a violent clash between the two groups with pelting stones etc. against each other. Mr. Patel, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in ABLAPL No.1273 of 2026 submits that some of the accused persons arrested by the local police have been released on bail in the meantime. The further submission of Mr. Patel, learned counsel for the petitioners is that one Rinku Patel received simple injury. Ms. Nanda, learned counsel for the petitioner in ABLAPL No.1908 of 2026 submits that the name of the said accused is mentioned in the FIR but without any specific overt act alleged therein. Mr. Mohapatra, learned counsel for the other petitioners submits that the accused persons should be allowed to go on bail considering the nature of allegations in Annexure-1.

5. Having regard to the above facts and submissions of the learned counsel for the respective parties and the fact that some of the accused persons arrested after the alleged incident are stated to be on bail and no one received any grievous injury as a result of the mob violence, this Court though not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners but is of the view that they should be directed to



surrender before the court concerned for being released with stringent conditions imposed.

6. Accordingly, it is ordered.

7. In the result, ABLAPLs stand disposed of with the direction as aforesaid. It is further directed that in the event, the petitioners surrender before the court of learned S.D.J.M., Sundargarh within a fortnight from today, they shall be released on bail in connection with Sundargarh Town P.S. Case No.29 of 2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No.103 of 2026 subject to them furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with one solvent surety for the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, which shall be at liberty to impose such other suitable conditions as deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case with an additional condition that none should involve themselves in any such similar incident after being released on bail.

8. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Alok