



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No.4332 of 2025

Keshaba Rohidas

....

Petitioner

Ms. D.R. Nanda, Adv.

-versus-

Collector & D.M., Jharsuguda & Ors.

Opposite Parties

Mr. Ipsit Aurobindo Acharya, Adv.

COROM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER
24.03.2026

Order No

- 02.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.
3. The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

“It is therefore humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may kindly be graciously pleased to admit the Writ Petition, and issue Rule Nisi calling upon the Opp. parties to file show cause as to why the writ shall not be allowed, and if the Opp. Parties fails to file the Show- cause or show insufficient cause, this Hon'ble Court be pleased to make the rule absolute and be pleased to pass the following:-

(i) Issue a writ mandamus directing the Opp. parties for payment of appropriate compensation of Rs. 1,20,00,000/- as to the damage cause to the petitioner for violation of his Constitutional right to property.

(ii) for Issue a writ mandamus directing the Opp. parties payment of compensation for use of land for



erecting 220 KV Transmission Line as per Land Acquisition Act and Rules.

(iii) Issue to writ mandamus directing JSW/BPSL Opp. Party No.4 to provide contract work to petitioner and a regular service to his son as promised earlier.

(iv) And to pass such other order/orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.

And for which act of kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner state that highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-6 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-6 in accordance with law within a period of three (3) months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

6. All the pending I.As stand disposed of.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge