



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No. 192 of 2026

Swarnalata Behera

.....

Petitioner

Mr. Gopinath Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

1.State of Odisha

2.Superintendent of Police, Puri

3. The IIC, Godisagoda Police

.....

Opposite Parties

Station, Puri

Mr. Sarathi Jyoti Mohanty,

Additional Government Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

27.02.2026

Order No.

03.

(Through hybrid Mode)

1. This CRLMP has been filed with a prayer to direct the Opposite Party No.3 – I.I.C., Godisagoda Police Station to register the FIR submitted by the Petitioner on 25.01.2026 vide Annexure-1 and to conduct the investigation and enquiry.

2. Perused the instructions dated 22.02.2026 of the IIC, Godisagoda Police Station, Puri, where he has stated that pursuant to report of the petitioner on 25.01.2026 general diary entry No.11 was made. After that, the villagers of Nuagaon collectively filed a report against them for abusing in obscene languages and a day was fixed for everyone to come together to settle the matter through compromise in writing as requested by the both the parties. However on the scheduled day, petitioner and her family members didn't attend the meeting and all these discussions took place in the presence of the then IIC. Whenever calls were made to the petitioner's mobile phone, it was found to be switched off and her



family members submitted that she has eloped from house and they have no knowledge regarding the CRLMP case vide No.-3858/2026 and that recently a case has been registered based on the written report of Sahadeb Behera (Father-In-Law of petitioner) i.e. Gadisagoda PS case No. 26 dated 18.02.2026 U/s-333/296/115(2)/118(1)351(2)/3(5) BNS has been registered against the accused persons namely Chandan Srichandan, Ramesh Dalai, Bikash Dalai, Dillip Sahoo, Benudhar Srichandan, Diganber Pradhan, Balakrushna Srichandan, Meru Sahoo, Sura Dalai, Manas Dalai of village Nuagaon.

3. In view of the subsequent FIR, which has been filed by the father-in-law of the petitioner, it is apparent that the husband of the petitioner was very much available in the village on 16.02.2026. In view of the nature of allegations, I am not inclined to issue any direction to the IIC to register the FIR.

4. But it would be in the interest of justice, if the IIC continues his efforts for settlement of the disputes between the family of the petitioner and the villagers, so that the disputes among them are amicably settled.

5. With this observation, the CRLMP is disposed of.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Subhalaxmi