



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No. 254 of 2026

Susil Kumar Biswal

.....

Petitioner

Mr. Sarada Prasad Dash, Advocate

-versus-

1.Puspita Rout

.....

Opposite Parties

2.Sai Sruti Biswal

Mr. A. K. Behera, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

15.04.2026

Order No.

05.

(Through hybrid Mode)

1. Mr. S. P. Dash, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of typographical error in his petition in paragraphs No. 2 and 6, the amount of interim maintenance which has been directed to be paid to the Opposite Party No.2 has been mentioned as Rs.4,000/- in place of Rs.3,000/-. He also submits that Annexure-2 is copy of the show cause reply of the petitioner filed before the learned Judge, Family Court, Baripada, but inadvertently the documents which had been annexed to the show cause reply/objection have not been filed along with the Annexure-2. He submits that if granted a short adjournment, he shall file an I.A for amendment and shall also file the documents which could not be filed earlier.

2. Both counsels submit that attempts for settling the matter in the learned Court below has not been successful and that petitioner has also filed CP No. 271 of 2024 for divorce in the Court of the learned Judge, Family Court, Baripada and proceedings in the said case have been stayed pursuant to interim order passed by this Court in TRP(C) No. 353 of 2024.



3. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the impugned order, I find that the petitioner and Opposite Party No.1 have an 11 year daughter, who is studying in school. Hence, this Court is of the view that it would be in the interest of justice, if an attempt is made by this Court for amicable settlement of the dispute between the parties.

4. Both counsels submit that the parties will appear on 29.04.2026 before this Court.

5. It shall be mentioned in the list that the matter shall be taken up in the chambers after lunch interval.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

subhalaxmi