



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.3695 of 2026

Sukhishyam Naik

....

Petitioner

Mr. P.C. Nayak, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P.P. Behera, ASC

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

05.02.2026

Order No.

- 01.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
- 3.** It is contended that Petitioner vide order dtd.14.02.2023 so issued under Annexure-7 was regularized w.e.f. 19.11.2013 and in the said order, Petitioner's name finds place at S.L. No.62.
- 4.** It is contended that even though benefit of the said order was cancelled vide order dtd.29.05.2024, the same was never communicated to the Petitioner. Only when office order dtd.06.01.2026 under Annexure-2 was issued directing for recovery of the excess amount, Petitioner came to know that benefit of Annexure-7 order has been cancelled vide order under Annexure-1.
- 5.** Learned Addl. Standing Counsel is directed to obtain instruction on the issue raised.



6. As requested, list this matter on 9th March, 2026.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

I.A. No.2214 of 2026

02. 1. Till the next date, no recovery be made basing on the order under Annexure-2.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat