



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.1422 of 2026

**Tilottama Sethi @ Tilatama Sethy Petitioners
& others**

Mr. S. K. Rout, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha Opposite Party

Mrs. Siva Mohanty, ASC

**CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK**

**ORDER
12.03.2026
I.A. No.305 of 2026**

Order No.

01.

1. Heard.
2. Instant petition is filed seeking correction of the cause title and in respective paras of the bail petition as the G.R. case has been wrongly typed therein.
3. Recorded the submissions of learned counsel for the respective parties. For the reason stated therein, I.A. is hereby allowed.
4. Office is to carry out of above order reflecting the correct G.R. case wherever necessary.
5. The I.A. is disposed of.
6. The consolidated cause title of the bail petition is already filed and received and taken on record.



ABLAPL No.1422 of 2026

1. Heard learned counsels for the respective parties.
2. Instant petition under Section 482 BNSS is filed for pre-arrest bail of the petitioners in connection with Bhandaripokhari P.S. Case No.13 of 2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No.40 of 2026 pending in the file of learned J.M.F.C. (II), Bhadrak on the grounds stated therein.
3. Gone through the F.I.R. as at Annexure-1. It is claimed that the parties are having a civil dispute and hence, the alleged incident took place. It is also claimed that the informant did not receive any visible injury as a result of the excess committed by the petitioners. As per the F.I.R. i.e. Annexure-1, the assault was caused to the informant. Learned counsel for the State submits that such assault has been by means of an iron rod, but in reply, it is stated that the informant has not received any such serious injury. The Court finds that the petitioners belong to one family and they have been entangled for the alleged incident and hence, apprehend arrest. The allegation in the F.I.R. regarding the assault is against all the accused persons named therein. Since it is pleaded on record that there is a boundary dispute between the parties and appears to be that on account of same, the alleged incident has taken place and three of the petitioners are lady members of the family, this Court, recording objection of the State and for them having no other criminal antecedent, is of the view that all should be directed to



surrender instead of considering their plea for pre-arrest bail, for being released with stringent conditions imposed.

4. Accordingly, it is ordered.

5. In the result, ABLAPL stands disposed of with the direction as aforesaid. It is further directed that upon surrender before the court of learned J.M.F.C. (II), Bhadrak within a fortnight from today, the petitioners shall be released on bail in connection with Bhandaripokhari P.S. Case No.13 of 2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No.40 of 2026 subject to them furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand) each with one solvent surety for the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, which shall be at liberty to impose such other suitable conditions as deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case. It is further directed that the above order shall be given effect to subject to verification of any grievous injury received by the informant as a result of the alleged incident.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Sumitra