



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.1342 of 2026

Amit Kumar Sahoo

....

Petitioner

Mr. B. K. Sharma, Sr. Advocate

Along with

Mr. A. U. Senapati, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha & another

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S. K. Brahma, ASC

Mr. M. Mishra, Advocate

(for Informant)

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIK

ORDER

23.03.2026

Order No.

02.

1. Necessary correction to the Court's order dated 10th March, 2026 is directed since the names of the lawyers are wrongly mentioned in the cause title as Mr. Sharma, learned Senior Advocate is appearing for the petitioner along with Mr. A. U. Senapati, Advocate. The name of Mr. Mishra, learned counsel for the informant be reflected correctly in the cause title. Furthermore, the Court's order dated 10th March, 2026 be also corrected with regard to the names of the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.

2. Mr. Sharma, learned Senior Advocate for the petitioner submits that the case under Section 14 of the POCSO Act is not made out, which is seriously opposed by Mr. Mishra, learned counsel for the informant alleging that the accused has viraled naked photographs of the victim. Recorded the submission of



the learned counsel for the State. The Court is inclined to ascertain whether the petitioner is responsible for such viral of naked photographs of the victim since it has been informed to the Court by Mr. Mishra, learned Senior Advocate that the mobile handsets of the petitioner and informant have been sent for forensic examination.

3. List on 6th April, 2026 for further orders.
4. Interim order to continue till the next date.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Sumitra