



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 3512 of 2025

***Maa Bishalaxmi* *Petitioner*
S.H.G., Udyan,
*Rajnagar, Kendrapada***

Mr. B.C. Ghadei, Advocate

-versus-

***1. State of Odisha* *Opposite Parties*
2. Collector and District
Magistrate,
Kendarapada
3. District Social
Welfare Officer,
Kendarapada
4. C.D.P.O., Rajnagar,
*Kendarapada***

Mr. S.P. Das, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

**ORDER
26.03.2025**

Order No.

01. 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State.
2. Being aggrieved by the order dated 10.12.2024 at Annexure-1 by which Petitioner-Maa Bishalaxmi SHG, Udyan, Rajnagar has been tagged to one Maa Durga SHG Belari, Aul inter alia on the ground of supply of sub-standard/ unsafe Chhatua by the THR, the present writ petition has been filed.



3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that in pursuant to Annexure-3, the letter calling for show cause, the Petitioner submitted a show cause by Annexure-4 and admittedly, the same has not been taken into account, but acting in an arbitrary manner the Petitioner's THR has been tagged to Maa Durga SHG Belari, Aul at Annexure-1 and the name of the Petitioner appears at serial No.2 of the said communication.

4. Learned counsel for the State submits that the writ petition is premature in as much as it has been indicated in the impugned letter itself that taking into account the urgency and to avoid disruption of feeding programme of lactating mothers and children the arrangement has been made and the final decision is yet to be taken. Hence, the Petitioner ought not to have rushed to this Court.

5. Be that as it may, since the impugned order itself shows that the final decision regarding the tagging of the SHG is pending consideration, this Court feels that ends of justice would be subserved, in the event the Opposite Party No.2-the Collector and D.M, Kendrapada considers the show cause and passes the final order within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt/production of



copy of this order along with the copy of the writ petition. The decision so taken shall be communicated to the Petitioner.

6. Before taking such decision, the said Opposite Party No.2-the Collector and D.M, Kendarapada shall do well to hear the Petitioner or its representative and all the other stake holders.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

8. In view of disposal of writ petition, I.A. No.1664 of 2025 is disposed of.

9. U.C.C. as per rules.

(V. Narasingh)
Judge

Ayesha

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: AYESHA ROUT
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 28-Mar-2025 12:15:22

