



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.1025 of 2025

Jaya Khara

....

Petitioner

Mr. R.L. Pattnaik, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Orissa

....

Opposite Party

Mr. P.K. Ray, AGA

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK

ORDER

27.03.2025

Order
No.

02.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and State.
2. Instant petition under Section 483 BNSS is filed for release of the petitioner on bail in connection with Special G.R. Case No.204 of 2024 pending in the file of learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Malkangiri corresponding to Mathili P.S. Case No.0282 of 2024 on the grounds stated.
3. In course of hearing, it is submitted by Mr. Pattnaik, learned counsel for the petitioner that the co-accused has been granted bail vide BLAPL No.1239 of 2025, a copy of which is at Flag-B. The recovery relates to commercial quantity of Ganja while being carried in two motor-cycles. The petitioner is one among the accused persons responsible for the alleged transportation of contraband Ganja. The co-accused, namely, Mangaraj Pangri was released on bail vide BLAPL No.1239 of 2025. The petitioner is found to be on equal footing and it is claimed that he has no other



similar antecedent. Considering the same and recording the objection received from the side of the State, the Court is inclined to extend parity and release the petitioner as well with similar conditions.

4. Accordingly, it is ordered.

5. In the result, the petition under Section 483 BNSS stands allowed. Consequently, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Special G.R. Case No.204 of 2024 arising out of Mathili P.S. Case No.0282 of 2024 subject to him furnishing a bail bond of Rs.40,000/-(rupees forty thousand) with one solvent surety for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Malkangiri, who shall impose such other suitable conditions as deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case besides the following, such as, he shall not to commit similar offence after being released. The Court further directs that such release shall be subject to verification and absence of antecedent vis-à-vis the petitioner.

6. The BLAPL is disposed of.

7. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge