



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**ABLAPL No.1128 of 2025**

**Padmanabhan Pillai**

....

**Petitioner**

Mr. Jaydeep Pal, Advocate

-Versus-

**State of Odisha**

....

**Opposite Party**

Mr. S. B. Panda, ASC

Mr. L. Samantaray, Sr. Advocate  
(for Informant)

**CORAM:**

**MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIK**

**ORDER**

**18.02.2026**

**Order No.**

02.

1. Gone through the F.I.R. as at Annexure-1 and considering the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that the transactions have nothing to do with the company and it is in his personal capacity that the same have taken place, which is countered by Mr. Samantaray, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the informant stating that the mischief was revealed after the IT scrutiny held for the year 2022.

2. Recorded the submission of learned counsel for the State with reference to the case diary claiming that the petitioner has not appeared before the I.O. on 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2025, hence, not extending co-operation in the investigation. Mr. Pal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no default on the part of the petitioner, instead, he has appeared before the IO, a couple of times and even produced certain documents.



3. Considering the submission of Mr. Samantaray, learned Senior Advocate for the informant, the Court is inclined to peruse the up-to-date case diary which is not available, hence, requests learned counsel for the State to cause production of the same, but only with regard to any such materials related to the IT scrutiny and such correspondences the I.T. Department had with the informant vis-à-vis the transactions.
4. List on 12<sup>th</sup> March, 2026 for final orders.
5. A copy of the order be supplied to Mr. Panda, learned ASC for the State for its compliance.
6. Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing.
7. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

**(R.K. Pattanaik)**  
**Judge**

Sumitra