



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**  
**BLAPL No.1250 of 2026**

***Bilash Chandra Raj***

... ***Petitioner***

*Mr. R.K. Das, proxy counsel on behalf of  
Mr. S.K. Das, Advocate*

*-versus-*

***State of Orissa***

... ***Opposite Party***

*Mr. M.R. Patra, Addl. PP*

**CORAM: JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY**

**ORDER(ORAL) 25.03.2026**

**Order No.**  
**02.**

**I.A. No.222 of 2026**

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. This is an application by the petitioner for grant of interim bail on the ground of illness of his daughter.
3. Heard, Mr. Rakesh Kumar Das, learned proxy counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Sujit Kumar Das, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. M.R. Patra, learned Additional Public Prosecutor in the matter and perused the record.
4. After having considered the rival submissions and on going through the averments taken in the IA, it appears that the petitioner wants to avail interim bail for the treatment of his daughter for the disease "Ventricular Septal Defect (VSD)", but it is candidly admitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the daughter of the petitioner is suffering from such disease about four years ago and the patient is under continuous medical management and medication. It is also found from the written note of submission as



produced by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the said child was taken into hospital on 11.10.2025 and 24.11.2025, but the petitioner is in custody much before the aforesaid dates and, therefore, it is very clear that absence of the petitioner in his house is not causing any hindrance for the treatment of the patient. Besides, there appears not only serious allegation against the petitioner, but also he is allegedly having involvement in 98 cases as per the impugned order of rejection of bail. Further, the document as annexed by the petitioner in this IA does not reveal about the exact procedure of the treatment, nonetheless some medicine has been prescribed to the patient for constant pain. In the aforesaid facts and situation and taking into consideration the alleged involvement of the petitioner in other 98 cases and there being always risk of the petitioner absconding, this Court does not consider it proper to admit interim bail to the petitioner. The petitioner is however, at liberty to approach the learned trial Court for interim bail with appropriate document to satisfy about the illness of her daughter and the treatment required for her.

5. Hence, the prayer for interim bail to the petitioner stands rejected. Accordingly, the I.A. stands disposed of.

**BLAPL No.1250 of 2026**

6. This is a bail application U/S.483 of the BNSS by the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with CT



Case No. 1260 of 2024 arising out of Cyber Crime PS Case No. 35 of 2024 pending in the Court of learned SDJM, Bhubaneswar, Khurda for commission of offences punishable U/Ss. 419/ 420/ 465/467/ 468/ 471/120-B/34 of IPC r/w Sections 66-C/66-D of the IT Act, on the main allegation of alluring the informant to invest in different shares and stocks for high returns and in the process, cheating a sum of Rs.30,02,267/- from the Informant.

7. Heard Mr. Rakesh Kumar Das, learned proxy counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Sujit Kumar Das, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. M.R. Patra, learned Addl. PP in the matter and perused the record.

8. After having considered the rival submission upon perusal of record, there appears allegation against the petitioner for cheating the informant through online fraud by alluring him to invest money in different shares and stocks. It is, however, claimed by the State that the petitioner is the sole proprietor of LB Global Solutions and 106 complaints have been received against the account of the petitioner in terms of the National Cyber Crime Reporting (NCRB) portal (now Samanvaya Portal) which is designed by the Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India to register complaint against cyber fraudster. Further, it is claimed that the account of the petitioner was credited with Rs.12,38,64,853/-(Rupees Twelve Crore Thirty Eight Lakh Sixty Four Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty Three) from many beneficiaries



account and such amount has also been transferred through NEFT and RTGS mode to many accounts. Besides, the impugned order of rejection reveals that the IO has submitted a report to the effect that 98 numbers of cases of similar nature have been instituted against the petitioner and other persons involved in the group throughout India to commit online fraud.

9. In such view of the matter and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offences as alleged against the petitioner vis-à-vis the allegation sought to be brought against him and the materials collected by the investigating agency and on a cumulative consideration of circumstance involved in this case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

10. Hence, the bail application of the petitioner stands rejected. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of. A soft copy of the order be immediately communicated to the learned trial Court.

**(G. Satapathy)**  
**Judge**

*Jayakrushna*