



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**CRLA No. 75 of 2026**

**Krushna Chandra Das**

....

**Appellant**

*Mr. Prakash Chandra Sethi, Advocate*

*-versus-*

**Joint Director, Enforcement  
Directorate, Bhubaneswar Sub-  
Zonal Office, Nayapalli, IRC  
Village, BBSR**

....

**Respondent**

*Mr. Rajat Kumar Panda (ED)*

**CORAM:**

**THE HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO**

**ORDER**

**10.03.2026**

**Order No.**

05.

(Through hybrid mode)

**I.A. No. 672 of 2026**

1. This is the 4<sup>th</sup> interlocutory application filed on behalf of the Appellant-Petitioner for amendment of the Appeal (as per the proposed amendment mentioned in paragraph 3 ii above.)
2. I.A. No. 475 of 2026 and I.A. No. 477 of 2026 had been filed earlier for amendment of the Appeal. Both the I.As. were permitted to be withdrawn by order dated 13.02.2026 as the learned counsel for the Petitioner wanted to file a properly constituted application.
3. I.A. No. 533 of 2026 filed subsequently was permitted to be withdrawn on 25.02.2026, directing that I.A. for amendment in



proper format shall be filed by 07.03.2026 as undertaken, after serving copy thereof on the learned counsel for the Respondent.

4. Perusal of this I.A. reveals that it is not in proper format. It is however stated in the prayer that the Appellant-Petitioner may be permitted to amend the Appeal as per proposed amendment mentioned in para 3 ii.

5. Perusal of para 3 ii reveals that it contains the proposed amendment which is as follows:

*“2. **Proposed Amendment:** It is therefore humbly prayed that in view of the above submission the order passed by the Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal dismissing the appeal filed by the Appellant may be quashed and further be pleased to pass any such order/s as may be deemed fit and proper.”*

6. Mr. Prakash Chandra Sethi, learned counsel for the Appellant submits that the proposed amendment will not change the basic character of the Appeal and no prejudice will be caused to the Respondent-Opposite Party if the amendment is allowed.

7. Mr. Rajat Kumar Panda, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Enforcement Directorate submits that the amendment may be allowed at the risk of the Appellant.



8. Although the I.A. for amendment is not in proper format, but considering the submission of the counsel, the prayer for amendment is allowed.
9. The original prayer in the Appeal shall be substituted by the proposed amendment.
10. Mr. P.Ch. Sethi, learned counsel for the Appellant submits that he shall file the relevant page containing the amended prayer by 13.03.2026.
11. If the relevant page (s) is/are filed by 13.03.2026 as undertaken, the same shall be placed in the Appeal memo at the relevant place and incorporated it in the digital copy of the Appeal memo.
12. The I.A. is disposed of.
13. List the Appeal for Fresh Admission on 17.03.2026.

**(Savitri Ratho)**  
**Judge**

*puspa*